

Source-check reviewer worksheet

Geller v. Uber Technologies, Inc., 2026 IL 132066

Opinion filed September 24, 2026. Report prepared October 3, 2026.

Jonathan Nessler legal review pending. No review, timing, or cost result is prefilled.

Reviewer: _____ Review date: _____

Run / retained output: _____ Model / alias: _____

01 / IDENTITY

Correct case, court, citation and September 24, 2026 filing date

Source locator: Caption; paras. 1-3 | PDF page 1

☐ Pass ☐ Attention ☐ Incorrect

Source paragraph support / observed issue: _____

Correction needed: _____

02 / MATERIAL FACTS AND CAPACITIES

Mark died as an Uber passenger; Sheridan sued as independent administrator; distinguishes her personal agreement from his use

Source locator: paras. 1-3, 6-10 | PDF page 1

☐ Pass ☐ Attention ☐ Incorrect

Source paragraph support / observed issue: _____

Correction needed: _____

03 / SURVIVAL CLAIMS

Survival counts were compelled under Mark's agreement and voluntarily dismissed; remain dismissed on remand

Source locator: paras. 10, 76 | PDF page 6

☐ Pass ☐ Attention ☐ Incorrect

Source paragraph support / observed issue: _____

Correction needed: _____

04 / PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Circuit denied wrongful-death arbitration, appellate reversed, supreme court reversed appellate and affirmed circuit

Source locator: paras. 10-16, 76-79 | PDF page 6

☐ Pass ☐ Attention ☐ Incorrect

Source paragraph support / observed issue: _____

Correction needed: _____

Use the full supplied opinion and the retained brief. Complete the remaining checks on page 2.

Worksheet labels: Pass = supported; Attention = clarification or correction; Incorrect = unsupported or wrong.

Source-check reviewer worksheet

Geller v. Uber Technologies, Inc., 2026 IL 132066

Opinion filed September 24, 2026. Report prepared October 3, 2026.

Jonathan Nessler legal review pending. No review, timing, or cost result is prefilled.

Reviewer: _____ Review date: _____

Run / retained output: _____ Model / alias: _____

05 / DELEGATION AND CONSENT

Separates agreement to delegate arbitrability from arbitration of the merits; court decided antecedent consent question

Source locator: paras. 29-33, 62-63, 70-72 | PDF page 21

☐ Pass ☐ Attention ☐ Incorrect

Source paragraph support / observed issue: _____

Correction needed: _____

06 / CAPACITY AND SCOPE

Sheridan's agreement concerns her use; no consent as beneficiary or personal representative for claims from Mark's use

Source locator: paras. 63, 67, 73-74 | PDF page 21

☐ Pass ☐ Attention ☐ Incorrect

Source paragraph support / observed issue: _____

Correction needed: _____

07 / LIMITS OF THE DECISION

Expressly notes unconscionability arguments were not reached; avoids universal ban on Uber arbitration

Source locator: paras. 74-76 | PDF page 25

☐ Pass ☐ Attention ☐ Incorrect

Source paragraph support / observed issue: _____

Correction needed: _____

08 / SOURCE SUPPORT

Paragraph citations support the propositions; no invented facts or authorities presented as independently verified

Source locator: Full supplied opinion | PDF page 1

☐ Pass ☐ Attention ☐ Incorrect

Source paragraph support / observed issue: _____

Correction needed: _____

Acceptance: ☐ Accepted as written ☐ Accepted after correction ☐ Not accepted

Elapsed review minutes: _____ Measured total cost (USD): _____ ☐ Not measured

Reviewer signature: _____ Decision date: _____